THE NANCY GRACE ROMAN SPACE TELESCOPE
Roman Science community engagement - Goals

• Community definition and ownership of Core Community Surveys
  – Community defined: Broad, inclusive process; Astrophysics included in all decisions
  – Community owned: Not directed by any single team; data have no proprietary access

• Ability for people to engage with Roman project / Science Centers / science community independently of proposal selection
  – Via technical joint working groups and community-led science collaborations

• Science community funding
  – Variety of award sizes and durations
  – Multiple funding opportunities between now and launch for support for people at US institutions to work independently or with existing science teams/consortia
  – Long term, stable support of teams to allow development of software/pipelines etc in partnership with Roman Science centers
  – Draft solicitation released for comment in April, final release postponed until after release of CAA study on Roman Observations.
Roman Observations

• Three Core Community Surveys address the 2010 Decadal Survey science goals while providing broad scientific power
  – High Latitude Wide Area Survey
    • Wide area multiband survey with slitless spectroscopy
    • Enables weak lensing and galaxy redshift cosmology mission objectives
  – High Latitude Time Domain Survey
    • Tiered, multiband time domain observations of 10s of deg$^2$ at high latitudes
    • Enables Type Ia supernova cosmology mission objectives
  – Galactic Bulge Time Domain Survey
    • ~<15 min cadence observations over few deg$^2$ towards galactic bulge
    • Enables exoplanet microlensing mission objectives

• Minimum 25% time allocated to General Astrophysics Surveys
• 90 days for Coronagraph technology demonstration observations, within first 18 months of mission
Exoplanet demographics

Roman’s microlensing program with the Galactic Bulge Time Domain (GBTD) Survey will fill out the census of exoplanets by finding exoplanets in the outer reaches of planetary systems that are inaccessible by other detection techniques.

Stellar Astrophysics

GBTD Survey will monitor >200 million stars
- Microlensing studies will reveal the population of neutron stars and stellar mass black holes in the galactic bulge
- Astroseismology – use stellar oscillations to measure mass and radius of stars in the galactic bulge
- and lots more – stellar flares, pulsating variable stars etc

GBTD Survey will provide a deep image of 2 deg$^2$ region of the bulge
- Identify unusual stellar populations down to very faint levels
- measure positions, distances precisely

Roman General Astrophysics Surveys of nearby galaxies open new windows in extragalactic stellar astrophysics.
• Priority Area: Pathways to Habitable Worlds
  – How to answer the question “Are we alone?”
  – The planets around Sun-like stars are only accessible via an ultra-stable, space-based telescope equipped to block the star’s light and directly image the planet
    • The **Roman coronagraph instrument tech demo** is an important part of the path
Astro2020: New Messengers and New Physics

• Priority area: New windows on the Dynamic Universe
  – Exploring the Universe in the time domain is a discovery machine
    • Gamma-ray bursts, tidal disruption events, supernovae, fast radio bursts, etc were all discovered, and can only be studied by observatories monitoring large areas of the sky on a variety of timescales.
      – Roman will study all these, and almost certainly make new discoveries of our own
      – The Galactic Bulge and High Latitude Time Domain Surveys will be the engine of these discoveries
  – Observing/identifying the counterparts to gravitational wave and neutrino events
    • Connecting the whole new world revealed by GW and neutrinos to things we know from the rest of astronomy
    • Using the High Latitude Time Domain Survey and TOO observations to identify/follow up interesting events
• Priority Area: Unveiling the Drivers of Galaxy Growth
  – Roman’s **High Latitude Wide Area and High Latitude Time Domain** will greatly expand our sampling of the structure, colors and spectra of galaxies over a significant fraction of cosmic time
  – **General Astrophysics Survey of an Ultra Deep Field** would provide additional depth

![Galaxy Images]
Core Community Surveys are for Everyone

• **Core Community Surveys**: a significant fraction of the prime mission used for revolutionary surveys of unprecedented scale.

Core Community Surveys definition will be via an open process, maximizing the overall science return while meeting the cosmology and exoplanet science requirements.
Community Definition of Core Surveys

- **Workshops to inform community about Roman capabilities**
  - Outline available parameter space for each survey
  - Constraints are that each survey provides data needed to meet the science requirements, and at least 25% time (TBC) is retained for General Astrophysics Surveys

- **White paper call for papers detailing science that can be done with the survey**
  - Encourage development of metrics/figures of merit, and description of specific observational needs

- **Additional workshops to enable community cooperation and consensus**
  - Provides a forum for iterative development of survey concepts
An Inclusive Process

- Make sure that the entire community has a voice in the survey definition process
- Reach out as broadly as possible
  - Leverage mailing lists at both Roman science centers (STScI and IPAC) in addition to existing Roman mailing lists and other astrophysics lists (e.g., Chandra)
  - AAS, APS etc. (town halls, special sessions, announcements in newsletters)
- Enable and encourage participation from early career scientists
  - Provide travel support for workshops
- Proactively reach out to researchers at undergraduate serving institutions and minority serving institutions
Evaluation and Recommendations

- Set up and charter a tiered committee structure to do the work of recommending survey definitions based on community input
  - Committees include representatives of all science areas to be addressed by each survey (determined from white paper submissions etc)

Steering Committee

- Provides recommendations on balance between each of the core community surveys, and the general astrophysics survey allocation above 25%

  Evaluate white papers, solicit additional community input, evaluate survey options against science metrics, produce recommendations for survey with options for enhancements/descopes

High Latitude Wide Area Committee

High Latitude Time Domain Committee

Galactic Bulge Time Domain Committee
Funding the Science Community

• Roman Science Investigation Team contracts ended in 2021

• Draft ROSES Solicitation for new teams/community support released for comments April 29-May 31; final expected to be released after release of CAA report

A new start!
Opportunity to rethink how the Roman Science community is structured
Engaging with Roman

• **Technical working groups that cut across all science areas**
  – Forum for people to work together on topics/methods that cut across science areas
  – Brings together Science community, science centers, and project
  – Have been very successful over past 5 years, will update group structure later this year

![Technical working groups icons](calibration, astrometry, software, simulations, detectors, spectroscopy)

• **Community-led Science Consortia**
  – Enable people to engage with Roman science independently of NASA-selection
  – Facilitate the formation of quasi-independent community-led consortia
  – Definition of these is currently vague, because we want to work with the community to shape what these should be

![Community-led science consortia icons](cosmology, exoplanets, astrophysics, ...)
Three Opportunities in Current Solicitation

• Wide Field Instrument Science
  – Support to prepare for and enhance the science return of *Roman* that can be addressed with its Wide Field Instrument
    • Multiple calls between now and launch
    • Regular (2 years, up to $150k/year) and Large (4 years and ≤$500k/year) categories

• WFI Project Infrastructure Teams
  – Sustained funding for teams to work in partnership with the science centers to develop infrastructure needed to enable the community to pursue *Roman*’s ambitious science goals in cosmology and exoplanet demographics
    • Additional science areas that require extensive and sustained infrastructure development will also be considered.

• Coronagraph Community Participation Program
  – Opportunity to work with the coronagraph instrument team to plan and execute its technology demonstration observations.
    • Multiple calls between now and launch
What’s different from what we did before?

• Larger number of small teams/individuals, many on short term
  – Greater turnover, more flexibility to adjust science team to evolving science landscape and project needs
  – Multiple opportunities for new people to join

• WFI Project Infrastructure Teams with long term baseline
  – Expectation of continuing through to end of prime phase
  – Provides continuity and enhanced support to the community

• Strong emphasis on science community coordination that is independent of the individual selected proposals
  – Community-led Science Consortia
  – Reset structure of joint working groups (keeping the ones that work well)

• Undergrad supplement for WFS

• ROSES solicitation in ~2 years
  – Additional CPP and WFS opportunities
The Road Ahead

2022
- WFS/CPP/PIT call
- Consortium/joint working group kickoff
- Kickoff Workshops

2023
- WFS/CPP call
- Community Workshops
- White Paper deadline

2024
- Panel deliberations & additional information gathering

2025
- First General Investigator call

2026
- GI-Cyc2
- GI-Cyc3
- GI-Cyc4
- GI-Cyc5
- Final report
- Prelaunch observation review

2027-2029
- On-orbit Observation review
- Launch!

Funding opportunities
Survey Definition process
Wide Field Instrument Science

• **Preparing for and enhancing Roman WFI Science**
  – Can include, but are not limited to, any combination of the following topics:
    • Precursor observations using ground- and/or space-based observatories to prepare for future Roman science observations and/or to provide calibration capability;
    • Development of Roman analysis software beyond that provided by the Science Centers. This could include topics like machine learning techniques in time domain astrophysics, high precision astrometric measurement techniques, etc.;
    • Development of algorithms for joint processing with data from other space- or ground-based observatories such as deblending algorithms, photometric redshift training and calibration, or forced photometry;
    • Theoretical and/or phenomenological modeling directly related to Roman capabilities;
    • Instrument calibration and characterization;
    • Development of survey strategies;
    • Development of simulation tools, producing simulated datasets, and conducting or participating in data challenges.

• **Supporting the Roman project and Science Centers**
  – WFS supported teams are expected to form part of the funded Roman science community providing support and guidance to the Roman project and science centers.
Project Infrastructure Teams (PITs) partner with science centers providing comprehensive and sustained support enabling science objectives that require long-term scientific infrastructure development.

PITs will:

A. develop and maintain such infrastructural tools and capabilities as are needed to address the mission objective that is the proposal’s focus;
   • PIT infrastructure focused to a specific science objective, they are not the survey teams

B. support community-led science consortia;
   • PITs enable the community to achieve ambitious Roman science objectives

C. support the Roman Project and Science Centers (the SSC and the SOC)

PITs are service oriented; expected to work closely with Project and science centers to ensure that the community can achieve Roman science objectives
Coronagraph Community Participation Program

• Intent is to form a coalition of scientists/technologists to work together to plan and execute Coronagraph technology demonstration observations
  – Some members of coalition from Coronagraph project & international partners, and the rest is solicited from the community via the current ROSES opportunity

• Selecting individuals/small groups to make up CPP Team
  – Broad opportunity for community engagement
  – Maximize diversity of interests & perspectives

• Overall, providing long term support to Coronagraph
  – Detailed planning & precursor work
  – Preparing for assessment, processing, and analysis of observations
  – Making the most of the observations to learn about space coronagraphy
Backups
## Roman Detectors - The Next Generation from Teledyne

### H1R
(Hubble WFC3 IR)
- 1024 x 1024 pixels
- 18 μm pixels
- QE ~ 90% (1.0 – 1.7 μm)
- Dark current <0.05 e^-/s/pix
- Noise – 12 e^- RMS (16 reads)
- Development: 2000 - 2007

### H2RG
(JWST, Euclid)
- 2048 x 2048 pixels
- 18 μm pixels
- QE ~ 90% (@2 μm)
- Dark current <0.01 e^-/s/pix
- Noise – 6e^- RMS (1000 s)
- Development: 2002-2014

### H4RG - 10
(Roman WFI)
- 4096 x 4096 pixels
- 10 μm pixels
- QE ~ 95% (0.8 – 2.1 μm)
- Dark current <0.005 e^-/s/pix
- Noise – 5 - 6 e^- RMS (180 s)
- Development: 2011-2021

---

Early and sustained investment in H4RG-10 led to on-schedule, on-budget delivery of Roman Flight SCAs in late 2021
How to get involved with Roman

**• Community Definition of core community surveys**

**• Technical engagement via joint working groups**
  – Calibration, detectors, simulations, pipelines/software etc

**• Scientific engagement**
  – Planning science consortia/collaborations (at least 3, and maybe more)
    • Details TBD, but could be Cosmology, Exoplanets, Astrophysics
  – Place for people to engage with each other and the Roman mission on specific science areas

**• Funding (for people at US institutions)**
  – Draft call for proposals released last month, deadline fall 2022)
  – Additional call in 2024
Wide Field Instrument: Filters/Dispersers

- Imaging capability is ~1 sq. deg. per day at any band to 5σ AB depth of F062=29.6, F087=29.2, F106=29.1, F129=29.1, F158=29.1, F184=28.5, F213=27.2, F146=29.4.
- Spectroscopy via prism (0.6-1.8μm, R~100, ~24AB) and grism (1.0-1.9μm, R~600, ~22AB)
Roman Science Operations

• Data system consists of:
  – Pipeline for low level data processing
  – Pipeline for high level processing
  – Science platform (HLPP) allowing users to interact with data and high-level processing software in the cloud
  – Archive with HST/JWST/MAST like functionality

• Updated data processing plans at STScI (SOC) and IPAC (SSC) to augment high level science processing
  – catalog functions to be implemented by science centers: include deblending, photo-z, some time-domain functions etc
  – Astrometry functions – e.g. tying to Gaia
  – Some elements of PSF characterization
  – Instrument simulations
The Design Reference Mission is a notional observing plan that is used to demonstrate that Roman can execute a science program that will meet the mission objectives within the prime phase of the mission.

It is NOT the observing plan but gives a flavor for that nature of surveys that address the science objectives and the degree of flexibility to optimize the observing program for a broad range of science.

- **High Latitude Wide Area Survey**
  - 1700 deg² (wide), 20 deg² (deep), 4 filters (Y, J, H, F) for wide and deep fields and grism spectroscopy.

- **High Latitude Time Domain Survey**
  - 19 deg², (wide), 4.2 deg² (deep), 4 filters (R, Z, Y, J – wide) / (Z, Y, J, H – deep), 5 day cadence, and prism spectroscopy.

- **Galactic Time Domain Survey**
  - 2 deg², 15 min cadence with W filter, 12 hour cadence for R or Z and Y or J for 60 – 72 day seasons; 6 seasons.
Core Community Survey Definition

• Goals:
  – Provide observations needed to enable mission science objectives in cosmology and exoplanet demographics
  – Maximize science return
  – Ensure breadth of science and community across all surveys
  – Maximize community engagement and input in definition of surveys
  – Establish a transparent process
  – Ensure final survey definition recommendations made by a body and process the community perceives as representative and balanced

We are developing the community process with our science centers (who lead the implementation) and will review plans with our advisory committees. What’s presented here is not a final plan for review, but an outline of the kind of things we have in mind to guide discussion.
Roman Dark Energy Cosmology Program

High-Latitude Imaging/Spectroscopy Surveys
- Both wide (>1700 deg\(^2\)) and deep (20 deg\(^2\)) surveys
- Multiwavelength Imaging - Weak lensing measurements of hundreds of millions of galaxies
- Spectroscopy (grism) - Redshifts of tens-of-millions of galaxies

High-Latitude Time-Domain Survey
- Light curves for many thousands of supernovae, a subset with prism spectra
- Both wide (19 deg\(^2\)) and deep surveys (5 deg\(^2\)), 5 day cadence

Cosmology program observations drive key requirements:
Angular resolution, near-IR sensitivity, photometric precision, wave front error and PSF stability

Dore et al. 2019
Timeline

Formulation Science teams selected

WFS, PIT, CPP team selections

WFS, CPP team selections

CC Survey definition

General Investigator call (funding, General Astrophysics Surveys)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY 13</th>
<th>FY 14</th>
<th>FY 15</th>
<th>FY 16</th>
<th>FY 17</th>
<th>FY 18</th>
<th>FY 19</th>
<th>FY 20</th>
<th>FY 21</th>
<th>FY 22</th>
<th>FY 23</th>
<th>FY 24</th>
<th>FY 25</th>
<th>FY 26</th>
<th>FY 27</th>
<th>FY 28</th>
<th>FY 29</th>
<th>FY 30</th>
<th>FY 31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Development

Design, Fabrication, I&T

Science Operations

Pre-Phase A | Phase A | Phase B | Phase C | Phase D | Phase E

- SRR/MDR
- MPDR
- MCDR
- SIR
- Launch
- End of Prime Mission

We are here
### Backup

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CY22</th>
<th>CY23</th>
<th>CY24</th>
<th>CY25</th>
<th>CY26</th>
<th>CY27</th>
<th>CY28</th>
<th>CY29</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase C</td>
<td>Phase D</td>
<td>Launch</td>
<td>Phase E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Mission Final Design and Fabrication; Payload Assembly

- **Proposal**
  - Wide Field Science Period of Performance (large proposals)
  - Wide Field Science Period (regular)
  - WFS Period (anticipated cycle 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CY22</th>
<th>CY23</th>
<th>CY24</th>
<th>CY25</th>
<th>CY26</th>
<th>CY27</th>
<th>CY28</th>
<th>CY29</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Project Infrastructure Teams Period of Performance**
  - PIT Possible Extension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CY22</th>
<th>CY23</th>
<th>CY24</th>
<th>CY25</th>
<th>CY26</th>
<th>CY27</th>
<th>CY28</th>
<th>CY29</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Coronagraph Community Participation Program Period of Performance**
  - Coronagraph CPP Possible Extension(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CY22</th>
<th>CY23</th>
<th>CY24</th>
<th>CY25</th>
<th>CY26</th>
<th>CY27</th>
<th>CY28</th>
<th>CY29</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Wide Field Instrument Calibration Approach

• Calibrations that can be done in flight will be done in flight
• Calibrations that must be done on ground, or best done on the ground:
  – Filter bandpass as function of field angle
• Calibrations that will be baselined on the ground and updated in flight
  – Detector darks, flats, gain, count-dependent non-linearity, count rate-dependent non-linearity (CRNL), inter-pixel capacitance (IPC), persistence, brighter-fatter effect (BFE), sub-pixel response
  – Throughput (element-by-element)
  – Dispersion for grism, prism
• Calibrations involving dedicated in-flight measurements
  – All detector calibrations repeated
    • Frequency varies w/need
    • Relative Calibration System provides stimulus (flats etc) for most items
  – Absolute flux calibration as function of wavelength
    • Standard stars
  – Optical distortions
    • Gaia catalog, “standard fields”
  – Grism, prism dispersion
    • Stars, compact planetary nebulae
  – Stability monitoring, for sensitivity, optical distortions, wavelength calibration
Wide Field Instrument Calibration Approach – cont.

• Many calibrations will be incorporated into the survey designs (as opposed to requiring dedicated observations)

• Flux calibration uniformity
  – Design surveys so that each region of sky is observed in different parts of focal plane & at different roll angles
    • Can then solve for instrument response & sky signal (“Ubercal” – used w/ SDSS & Pan-STARRS)

• PSF
  – Fit stellar PSFs in all images
  – Can update detector Inter-pixel Capacitance, Brighter-fatter effect, persistence
  – Galactic Bulge and high-latitude time-domain surveys will enable regular updates to Count-rate non-linearity & sub-pixel response

• Optical distortions
  – Update on ongoing basis w/Gaia stars
Focal Plane System Characterization

- Focal Plane System characterization at the level of individual detectors is largely complete, apart from the last few SCAs arriving from Teledyne. 25 flight qualified detectors now in hand.
  - Completed: gain, dark current, CDS and total noise, QE, flat-field, integrated signal non-linearity, count-rate-dependent non-linearity, persistence, inter-pixel capacitance, charge diffusion, guide window performance, reference pixel performance, trailing pixel cross-talk
  - Partially completed or about to start: intra-pixel response, out-of-band rejection, photometric stability, bias-voltage vs. temperature, modulation transfer function, output-to-output cross-talk
  - Flight qualified detectors are being prioritized for inclusion in the flight focal plane
- Additional characterization tests are performed on non-flight SCAs to understand long term effects of testing, storage, and in-flight environment and operations
  - Accelerated lifetime tests, radiation testing, long term room temperature tests
- Characterization to be performed on entire Focal Plane System with entire flight signal chain:
  - Gain, dark current, CDS and total noise, integrated signal non-linearity, guide window performance, SCA-to-SCA cross-talk, photometric stability, many electrical performance tests
  - These tests included in the full Focal Plane System ETU Thermal Vacuum testing campaign this summer along with vibration and EMI tests
- Filter, prism, grism performance characterized in detail prior to integration in the instrument
- Characterization to be performed at full instrument level:
  - Focal plane tests: total noise, instrument background, out-of-band response, persistence, gain, integrated-signal non-linearity, flat-fields vs. wavelength (broad-band and narrow-band illumination),
  - Optical tests (all with f/7.9 beam over full range of field angles): Filter bandpasses, wavefront error, confocality over operating temperature, stray light rejection, prism/grism dispersion and throughput, field-of-view alignment, guide star acquisition, ghost limits
  - RCS self-calibration tests, stability, flat-field uniformity
Wide Field Science Proposals

• **Preparing for and enhancing Roman WFI Science**
  – Can include, but are not limited to, any combination of the following topics:
    • Precursor observations using ground- and/or space-based observatories to prepare for future *Roman* science observations and/or to provide calibration capability;
    • Development of *Roman* analysis software beyond that provided by the Science Centers. This could include topics like machine learning techniques in time domain astrophysics, high precision astrometric measurement techniques, etc.;
    • Development of algorithms for joint processing with data from other space- or ground-based observatories such as deblending algorithms, photometric redshift training and calibration, or forced photometry;
    • Theoretical and/or phenomenological modeling directly related to Roman capabilities;
    • Instrument calibration and characterization;
    • Development of survey strategies;
    • Development of simulation tools, producing simulated datasets, and conducting or participating in data challenges.

• **Supporting the Roman project and Science Centers**
  – WFS supported teams are expected to form part of the funded Roman science community providing support and guidance to the Roman project and science centers.