10 Exoplanet Aperture Drivers

Christopher Stark STScl cstark@stsci.edu

10 Exoplanet Aperture Drivers i.e. Why Go Big?

Christopher Stark STScl cstark@stsci.edu

1. Enables a Powerful Null Result in the Search for Life

Let's build an experiment, not an instrument

 $\times f_{\text{life}}$ $\times \eta_{\text{Earth}}$ Number of Fraction of Frequency of Earth-Earth-sized Yield of habitable Yield of HZ rocks sized rocks "exoEarth living zones (HZs) with life in the HZ candidates" planets surveyed

1. Enables a Powerful Null Result in the Search for Life



1. Enables a Powerful Null Result in the Search for Life



Yield is more sensitive to aperture than any other instrument, mission, telescope, or astrophysical parameter.

2. Must get past segmentation "penalty"



For **some** coronagraphs, monoliths will have larger yields than their segmented counterparts.

3. Potentially Less Sensitive to Instrument Degradation



However, more sensitive to stellar diameter-induced contrast degradation.

4. More Robust to Astrophysical Uncertainty



We will only get what nature provides. Budget for uncertainty.

5. Larger Apertures Provide Shorter Integration Times (Even Though They Observe More Distant Stars)



5. Larger Apertures Provide Shorter Integration Times Higher R & SNR is Possible



Tumlinson's Online Spectra Tool (Tumlinson, Robinson, Arney, et al)

In background-limited regime, characterization time of a given planet ~ D^{-4} ; 12 m apertures can achieve R ~ 80 x that of 4 m apertures in same exposure time.

5. Larger Apertures Provide Shorter Integration Times Higher R & SNR is Possible



Tumlinson's Online Spectra Tool (Tumlinson, Robinson, Arney, et al)

Will the unresolved O₂ line on the left be sufficient for the most profound discovery NASA has ever made?

6. Enables New Kinds of Exoplanet Science E.g., mapping planets, resolving circumplanetary material...



Require S/N~20 (5% photometry) to detect ~20% variations in reflectivity.

Reconstruction of Earth's land:sea ratio from disk-averaged timeresolved EPOXI observations.



7. Enable New Exoplanet Discovery Techniques



- How many Exo-Earths can be found?
 - How many hours of LUVOIR time (not including slew) would it take to survey the easiest (nearby) <u>100 stars</u> for an EXO-Earth (1 Mearth, 1 AU scaled to Star's luminosity)? <u>Answer 150 hrs</u>
 - We sorted the Hipparcos catalog for all FGK stars < 30pc. (double stars where a HZ orbit was not stable were removed) We found 384 stars. It would take <u>~1500 hrs</u> or LUVOIR integration time to search all of them.

M. Shao (presentation to LUVOIR HDI Team)

13

Astrometry of ExoEarths may be possible in fraction of time it would take to directly image

8. Greater Diversity of Exoplanet Host Stars



Smaller apertures skewed toward earlier stellar types. Little overlap with ground.

9. Greater Diversity of Exoplanets

Blind Planet Search Yields When Optimizing for Earths

D = 4 m

D = 12 m



Kopparapu et al. (in prep) Yields adopt Kopparapu's occurrence rates

Smaller apertures may not discover many Jupiters!

10. Sample Size!

Hubble's Hot Jupiters



Even with UVOIR spectra measured for 10 Hot Jupiters:

"The atmospheric chemistry of cloud/haze formation and atmospheric mass-loss are a major outstanding issues in the field of exoplanets, and we seek to make progress gaining insight into their underlying physical process through comparative studies."

> - Sing et al HST GO Proposal 14767 Awarded 498 HST orbits

Astronomy is an observational science. Sample size is critical.



Planet-Planet Confusion: Overlapping Bird Diagrams

Van Gorkom & Stark (in prep)



The likelihood of mistaking a Jupiter for an Earth is very low. We will be confused by smaller planets on smaller orbits.



Planet-Planet Confusion How to Clarify Without Taking Spectra

Van Gorkom & Stark (in prep)



Multi-epoch imaging can help clarify, but we will always have an albedo-radius degeneracy. ¹⁸

Backup Slides