Gravitational wave detectors and detection in the year 2012

Lee Samuel Finn Center for Gravitational Wave Physics, Penn State

Goals and Outline

- Goal: anticipate spectrum of detector sensitivities when LISA becomes science operational
- Outline
 - Resonant Acoustic Detectors
 - Interferometers
 - Pulsar Timing Arrays
 - Conclusions

Resonant Acoustic Detectors

- How they work
- Where they're going

Detecting Gravitational Waves: "Bar" Detectors





Auriga "Bar" Detector, Italy

24 April 2003

Bar Detectors Worldwide





- ALLEGRO (USA)
- Nautilus (Italy)
- Explorer (Italy)

24 April 2003

Principal technical challenge: *in situ* low-noise amplifiers

- On-resonance mechanical response larger than off-resonance response
- Ratio signal to (amplifier) noise larger for on resonance gravitational wave power than for off resonance power
- Leads to effective narrowing of response
- Current best sensitivity
 - ~10⁻²² in 1 Hz bandwidth near 900 Hz

Measured Strain Noise Spectral Density of ALLEGRO



Measured strain noise spectral density of ALLEGRO and the various noise contributions which are predicted from the noise model of the detector. — Measured total noise, — antenna brownian, — transducer brownian, — transducer electrical loss, — SQUID white noise, — SQUID back action.

Spherical Detectors

- Why spherical? "Omni":
 - Equal sensitivity to waves from any incident direction
 - Equal sensitivity to either wave polarization
 - Ability to discern incident wave polarization, direction



Kamerlingh Onnes Laboratory, Leiden University

"Dual" spheres for increased bandwidth

- Sphere inside a shell
 - Different resonant frequencies for inner sphere, outer shell
- Incident wave with characteristic frequency between resonant frequencies
 - Inner sphere, outer shell respond *out of phase*
 - Increased sensitivity in band between resonant frequencies
- Cf. Cerdonio et al., PRL 87 (2001) 082003



24 April 2003

Interferometric Detectors

- How they work
- Where they're going

Detecting Gravitational Waves: Laser Interferometry



LIGO: The Laser Interferometer Gravitational-wave Observatory





- United States effort funded by the National Science Foundation
- Two sites
 - Hanford, Washington & Livingston, Louisiana
- Construction from 1994-2000
- Commissioning from 2000 2002
- Operations: now!

24 April 2003

Laser Interferometer Detectors Worldwide







- Virgo: Italy & France (3 Km arms)
- GEO: Germany & UK (600m arms)
- TAMA: Japan (300m arms)

24 April 2003

What limits LIGO's sensitivity?

- Initial LIGO detectors:
 - Different *f*, different limit
 - < ~50Hz : seismic noise</p>
 - 50 200Hz : thermal noise
 - > 200Hz : "shot" noise
- Facility limits
 - Gravity gradients
 - Stray light
 - Residual gas



Building a better interferometer: Advanced LIGO



High frequencies: improving photon counting statistics

- More photons, better statistics
 - Higher laser power
 - Greater light storage time in cavity
- Higher laser power
 - Initial LIGO: 6 W input to IFO
 - Advanced LIGO: 125 W input to IFO
- Greater light storage time
 - Initial LIGO: 0.84ms light storage time; 30 KW on test masses
 - Advanced LIGO: 5.0ms light storage time; 800 KW on test masses

Thermal noise contributions

- Suspensions:
 - kT energy in taut suspension wire violin modes
- Test masses:
 - Normal modes: kT energy in mirror modes
 - Thermoelastic: Temperature fluctuations and thermal expansion coefficient

Thermal noise mitigation: suspensions

- Noise proportional to mechanical losses: *reduce losses*
 - Initial LIGO: mirrors rest on cylindrical wires
 - Advanced LIGO: mirrors bonded to fused silica ribbons
- Coupling proportional to ratio wire/mirror mass
 - Initial LIGO: 11 Kg mass
 - Advanced LIGO: 40 Kg mass

Thermal noise mitigation: test masses

- Material properties problem
 - Normal modes:
 - Increase Young's modulus: less motion for same thermal energy
 - Thermoelastic:
 - Decrease coefficient thermal expansion: less motion for same thermal fluctuations
 - Goal: single crystal sapphire
- Laser spot diameter, profile
 - Fluctuations averaged over effective spot area
 - Increase area, reduce effective fluctuation



Initial LIGO: 25cmAdvanced LIGO: 35cm

Seismic isolation

- Initial LIGO
 - Passive isolation: lossy springs
- Advanced LIGO
 - Active isolation
 - External hydraulic actuators
 - Suspension platform fine control
 - Multiple pendulum suspension
 - Mirrors at bottom of chain
 - Orientation forces applied at reaction masses



Sensitivity improvements: high power optics

- Radiation pressure: photons bouncing off mirrors
 - High power: high light pressure
- Mitigation: increased mirror mass
 - Smaller acceleration for same force
 - Initial LIGO: 11Kg
 - Advanced LIGO: 40Kg



Sensitivity improvements: high power optics

- More laser power, greater mirror heating
 - Differential heating changes mirror shape: "thermal lensing"
- Mitigation: bring face to constant temp.
 - Heat optic radiatively with suspended heating element



Tuning the detector response



Advanced LIGO sensitivity goals







LISA: Laser Interferometer Space Antenna

- Three spacecraft in equilateral triangle configuration
 - 5x10⁶ Km arm length
 - Solar orbit 20 deg behind Earth
- Constellation tracks changes in separation on



Courtesy Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, UK

LISA: critical technologies

- Space laser interferometry
 - Track fringes to establish separation changes with 10pm accuracy
- Inertial sensing
 - Sense deviations from inertial (geodesic) trajectories
- Micro-newton thrusters
 - Mitigate against deviations from inertial trajectories owing to, e.g., acceleration noise from solar wind

LISA technology tests

- ESA LISA Test Package (LTP), NASA Disturbance Reduction System (DRS)
 - Technology validation of space interferometry & inertial sensors, thrust technologies for drag-free flight
 - Flies on ESA SMART-2 August 2006

Conclusions, or What does this all mean?

- Ground-based "ifos" ontrack for
 - Stochastic background sensitivity Ωh²<10⁻⁹@ 100Hz
 - NS/NS binary inspiral sensitivity to ~400 Mpc
 - 2x10 M_{sol} BH/BH binary inspiral sensitivity to z~0.5
 - Pulsars: ε < 10⁻⁶ @ 100 Hz, 10⁻⁷ @ 300 Hz, 10⁻⁸ @ 1 KHz in 1 yr

- Resonant acoustic detectors
 - Could be competitive in ~100Hz bandwidth near 1 KHz
- LISA
 - Stochastic background sensitivity Ωh²<10⁻¹⁰ @ 0.01Hz
 - Sensitive to galactic binaries with orbital *f*>10^{-3.5} Hz
 - Massive (> 10³ M_{sol}) black hole binary inspiral anyhwere
 - Massive $(10^{4.5} \text{ M}_{sol} < M < 10^7 \text{ M}_{sol})$ black hole coalescence anywhere

Gravitational Wave Astronomy!