PHOTOCHEMISTRY OF THE EARTH'S ATMOSPHERE

Jose M. Rodriguez Head, Code 614, Atmospheric Chemistry and Dynamics Laboratory AND 614 Scientists July 28, 2012

OUTLINE

- Regions of the Earth's Atmosphere Energy sources
- What is "Photochemistry of Earth's Atmosphere" in Code 614
 - Present day
 - Ozone!!! (Biomarker)
 - Climate
 - Strong connection to observations
- Ozone photochemistry
- Atmospheric Observations (AURA)
- Ozone loss (Global)
 - Success of Montreal Protocol?
- Antarctic (Arctic?) Ozone Hole
- Ozone Recovery Chemistry-Climate coupling
- Higher than the stratosphere More appropriate to Earth-like exoplanets (no life)?



Note

Troposphere: Adiabatic cooling, other processes.

Stratosphere: Heating by ozone

Mesosphere: No ozone heating, cooling by CO2, others.

Thermosphere: Ionization, ionneutral reactions, energetic particles, absorption of short-wave radiation, other energy sources, ion chemistry

Exosphere: (> 500 km). Mean free path of molecules higher than scale height; molecules/atoms primarily in orbits.

Homopause: Around 100 km. Molecular diffusion takes over.

SOLAR FLUX ATTENUATION







SIMPLE OZONE PHOTOCHEMISTRY

Stratospheric Ozone

Production

 O_2 + hv ($\lambda < 240 \text{ nm}$) $\rightarrow O + O$ $O + O_2 + M \rightarrow O_3 + M$

- Destruction by Catalytic Cycles
 - Chapman Cycle

 $O + O_3 \rightarrow 2 O_2$

• HOx cycle

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{OH} + \mathsf{O_3} \rightarrow \mathrm{HO_2} + \mathrm{O_2} \\ \mathsf{HO_2} + \mathsf{O_3} \rightarrow \mathrm{OH} + 2\mathrm{O_2} \end{array}$

 $2O_3 \rightarrow 3O_2$ NOTE: OH, HO₂ (HOx) from H₂O (reaction with O¹D)

• NOx cycle (anthropogenic influence, supersonic aircraft, N₂O)

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{NO} + \text{O3} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 \ + \text{O}_2 \\ \text{NO}_2 \ + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{NO} \ + \text{O}_2 \end{array}$

 $O + O_3 \rightarrow 2 O_2$ NOTE: NO, NO₂ (NOx) from N₂O, aircraft, lightning

Stratospheric Ozone (III)

Halogen Cycles (anthropogenic impact through emissions of CFCs, Halons, others).

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Cl} \ (\mathsf{Br}) + \mathsf{O}_3 \rightarrow \ \mathsf{Cl} \ (\mathsf{Br})\mathsf{O} + \mathsf{O}_2 \\ \mathsf{Cl} \ (\mathsf{Br})\mathsf{O} \ + \mathsf{O} \rightarrow \mathsf{Cl} \ (\mathsf{Br}) + \mathsf{O}_2 \end{array}$

 $\mathbf{O} + \mathbf{O}_3 \rightarrow \mathbf{2} \mathbf{O}_2$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Cl}(\text{Br}) + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{Cl}(\text{Br}) \text{O} + \text{O}_2 \\ \text{OH} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \\ \text{Cl}(\text{Br})\text{O} + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{HOCl}(\text{Br}) + \text{O}_2 \\ \text{HOCl}(\text{Br}) + \text{hv} \rightarrow \text{Cl}(\text{Br}) + \text{OH} \end{array}$

 $2 O_3 \rightarrow 3 O_2$

NOTE: Chlorine from CFC, HCFC photolysis (CFC-11: CFCl₃, CFC-12: CF₂Cl₂ Bromine from methyl bromide (CH₃Br), Halons: Halon 1211 (CF₂ClBr) Halon 1301 (CBrF₃)



MODELS

Photochemical Modeling: Chemical-Transport Model

Simple, just solve the mass continuity equation for all species of interest;

$$\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial F_{x,i}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_{y,i}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F_{z,i}}{\partial z} + P_i - L_i$$
$$= -\nabla \bullet (n_i U) + P_i - L_i - \nabla \bullet (F_{diff,i})$$

Subscript i denotes each species of interest. F_{diff} denotes all fluxes that are not represented by large-scale advection.

In a CTM, the large-scale fields, turbulent fluxes, convective fluxes, etc. are read from archived GCM calculation or data assimilation (GEOS-5). Current configuration (GMI CTM) has 72 (alt) x 91 (lat) x 144 (long) grid points

Note that the above represent, in principle, a large number of coupled equations, the occurring through chemical reactions, photolysis processes. GMI: 120 species, 341 chemical reactions, 81 photolysis processes.

Simplifications

• Two-dimensional (for approximate symmetry around one of the dimensions? For example, longitude on Earth stratosphere. Tidally-locked planet?

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} + \bar{U} \bullet \nabla f_i = \frac{1}{n} \nabla \bullet (n\mathbf{K} \bullet \nabla f_i) + P_i - L_i$$

where f_i is the volume mixing ratio, U an "effective" advective velocity, n the Background density, and K an "eddy diffusion" tensor.

Note that derivation of "effective" velocity and eddy tensor requires careful averaging and consideration of dynamics

Simplifications (II)

• If there is not sufficient multi-dimensional information, one can use a onedimensional version:

$$\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} (F_{turb,diff}) + P_i - L_i$$

$$F_{turb,diff} = -D_i (\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial z} + \frac{n_i}{H_i} + \frac{1 + \alpha_T}{T} \frac{dT}{dz} n) - K (\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial z} + \frac{n_i}{H_a} + \frac{1}{T} \frac{dT}{dz} n)$$

Where D_i = molecular diffusion coefficient for species I H_i, H_a = scale heights for species i and background atmosphere α_T = thermal diffusivity coefficient K = Eddy diffusion coefficient (mostly parameterized)

ATMOSPHERIC OBSERVATIONS

- GROUND BASED
 - IN-SITU
 - LIDAR
- BALLOONS
- AIRCRAFT
- SATELLITE

THE NASA A-TRAIN









Green: MLS Blue: OMI swath Pink: TES (nadir, limb) HIRDLS: yellow

TABLE IAURA INSTRUMENTS AND MEASUREMENTS

| Acronym | Name | Instrument PI | Constituent | Instrument Description |
|---------|-----------------|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| HIRDLS | High Resolution | John Gille, National | Profiles of T, O_3 , | Limb IR filter radiometer |
| | Dynamics Limb | Center for | H_2O , CH_4 , N_2O , | from 6.2 μ to 17.76 μ |
| | Sounder | Atmospheric | NO ₂ , HNO ₃ , N ₂ O ₅ , | 1.2 km vertical resolution |
| | | Research & U. of | CF ₃ Cl, | up to 50 km. |
| | | Colorado; | $CF_2Cl_2, CIONO_2,$ | |
| | | John Barnett, | Aerosols | |
| | | Oxford University | | |
| MLS | Microwave Limb | Joe Waters, Jet | Profiles of T, H_2O , | Microwave limb sounder |
| | Sounder | Propulsion | O_3 , ClO, BrO, HCl, | 118 GHz to 2.5 THz |
| | | Laboratory | $OH, HO_2, HNO_3,$ | 1.5-3 km vertical |
| | | | HCN, N_2O , CO, | resolution |
| | | | cloud ice. | |
| OMI | Ozone | Pieternel Levelt, | Column O_3 , SO ₂ , | Hyperspectral nadir |
| | Monitoring | KNMI, Netherlands | aerosols, NO ₂ , BrO, | imager, 114° FOV, 270- |
| | Instrument | | OClO. HCHO, | 500 nm, 13x24 km |
| | | | cloud top pressure, | footprint for ozone and |
| | | | O_3 profiles, UV-B. | aerosols |
| TES | Tropospheric | Reinhard Beer, | Profiles of T, O_3 , | Limb (to 34 km) and |
| | Emission | Mike Gunson, Jet | NO_2 , CO , HNO_3 , | nadir IR Fourier |
| | Spectrometer | Propulsion | CH_4 , H_2O . | transform spectrometer |
| | | Laboratory | | 3.2-15.4µ |
| | | | | Nadir footprint 5.3x8.5 |
| | | | | km, limb 2.3 km |





GMI: CTM driven by GEOS-5 assimilated meteorology for 2006; (Rodriguez, Strahan)

OMI NO2 TROPOSPHERIC VERTICAL COLUMN



Tropospheric Ozone from OMI-MLS



Tropospheric (lower atmosphere) ozone is a toxic pollutant and an EPA criteria pollutant. As industrialization increases, pollutants flow from one country to another and from one continent to another.

For the first time we are getting a clear picture of this ozone transport by combining ozone measurements of the stratosphere and the total column to produce the tropospheric amount.



Monthly average July and October 2005

STRATOSPHERIC OZONE LOSS: GLOBAL





WMO, 2010



Ground-based measurements from NOAA network (red), AGAGE (blue). Historical scenario in black (WMO, 2012)



WMO, 2010; Measurements in black. "Best models" in red.

STRATOSPHERIC OZONE LOSS: ANTARCTIC OZONE HOLE (ARCTIC)

THE OZONE HOLE "NATURAL" CONSPIRACY

- Temperature gradients between Antarctica and mid-latitudes set up a circumpolar vortex starting in Antarctic winter. Vortex isolates Antarctica, making it colder.
- Cold temperatures facilitate formation of Polar Stratospheric Clouds
 - Some are water ice, but mostly Nitric Acid Trihydrate (NAT; crystal) and supercooled sulfate ternary solutions (STS, liquid).
- Heterogeneous reactions take place on PSCs which radically alter the partitioning of chlorine species towards more active chlorine.
- Fast catalytic cycles for O₃ destruction ensue
- As an extra, PSCs containing nitric acid grow very large and sediment out of stratosphere – This "denitrification" prevents formation of NOx from photolysis of HNO₃, which keeps active chlorine for a longer period.
- Same processes in Arctic, but vortex more unstable.



PSCs photographed from Kiruna, Sweden, February (Salawitch?)

Heterogeneous Reactions

- $CINO_3 + HCI (psc) \rightarrow Cl_2 + HNO_3 (psc)$
- $CINO_3 + H_2O(psc) \rightarrow HOCI + HNO_3(psc)$
- HOCl + HCl (psc) \rightarrow Cl₂ + H₂O (psc)

Ozone Destruction CyclesCycle 2Cycle 3 $CIO + CIO \rightarrow (CIO)_2$ $CIO + BrO \rightarrow CI + Br + O_2$ $(CIO)_2 + sunlight \rightarrow CIOO + CI$ $CIO + BrO \rightarrow BrCI + O_2$ $CIOO \rightarrow CI + O_2$ $CI + O_3 \rightarrow BrO + O_2$ $2(CI + O_3 \rightarrow CIO + O_2)$ $CI + O_3 \rightarrow CIO + O_2$ Net: $2O_3 \rightarrow 3O_2$ Net: $2O_3 \rightarrow 3O_2$



WMO, 2010

MLS VIEW OF 2006 OZONE HOLE







GMI driven by GEOS-5 assimilated meteorology, 2006; Strahan

CHEMISTRY-CLIMATE COUPLING: OZONE RECOVERY





Need to solve momentum, energy equations also, coupled to chemistry (GCM with chemistry)





HIGHER UP: MESOSPHERE, THERMOSPHERE



Fig. 5.31. Calculated distributions of some hydrogen compounds (noon, equinox). From the model of Brasseur et al. (1983).



Fig. 5.39. Calculated vertical distributions of nitrogen species. From the model of Brasseur et al. (1983).



Fig. 6.10a and 6.10b. Ionization rates produced by various sources in the region from 60 to 100 km, during the day and at night. From Thomas, (1974). (Copyright by the American Geophysical Union).



Aura MLS Measurements of Atmospheric Effects Caused by the Solar Proton Events in January 2005

Charles Jackman (Code 614, NASA GSFC) and Eric Fleming (SSAI and Code 614, NASA GSFC)



EOS Aura

Solar eruptions in early 2005 led to a substantial barrage of charged particles on the Earth's polar atmosphere during the January 16-21 period. Most of these charged particles were protons, thus the term "Solar Proton Event (SPE)" has been used to describe this phenomenon. The solar protons created hydrogen- containing compounds, which led to the polar ozone destruction. We have used the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) observations to quantify the changes in the hydroxyl radical (OH), hydrogen dioxide (HO₂), and ozone due to these SPEs.

The atmospheric changes in OH, HO₂, and ozone for the latitude band 60-82.5°N shown in Figure 1 are all relative to the quiet January 1-14, 2005 time period, which contained no SPEs. Fairly substantial OH enhancements (up to 4 ppbv) and HO₂ enhancements (up to 0.8 ppbv) were caused by the SPEs. *To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that SPE-caused* HO_2 measured increases have been reported. The SPE-caused ozone decreases (up to 80%, area outlined by the 'yellow' line) are confined to pressures <0.5 hPa. The ozone changes below these large decreases are mostly seasonal variations, not connected to the SPEs.

Figure 1. Daily averaged OH (Top), HO₂ (Middle), and ozone (Bottom) change from an average of the observations for the period January 1-14, The contour intervals for the three constituents are: OH (0.0, 0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, and 5 ppbv); HO₂ (-0.1, 0.0, 0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, and 0.5 ppbv); Ozone (-80, -60, -40, -20, -10, -5, -2, -1, 0, 1,2,5, and 10%). The region of ozone decrease caused by the SPEs is roughly outlined by the 'yellow' line in the Bottom plot.



Laboratory for Atmospheres

SUMMARY

- We understand the processes controlling stratospheric ozone (???!!!)
- Where are we going?
 - Chemistry/climate coupling
 - Tropospheric chemistry/dynamics (an order of magnitude more complicated).
 - Aerosols!!!
 - Testing model processes, model improvement; uncertainty analysis
- What tools do we have for other planets?
 - Solving systems of coupled (chemical) equations and calculation of photolysis rates (Ion chemistry?)
 - Three and two-dimensional models (a fair amount of work to look at the underlying assumptions, approximations, to adapt to another atmosphere).
 - One dimensional models? (Maybe most appropriate for exoplanets with small day-night or latitudinal gradients in temperature).