Imaging Analysis: Point-Like Sources and Diffuse Emission

K.D.Kuntz The Henry A. Rowland Department of Physics and Astronomy Johns Hopkins University

Introduction

- Imaging analysis _ imaging spectroscopy
 - Few X-ray detectors are without spectroscopic capabilities
 - Surface photometry and spectroscopy inseparable
- Concentrate on "soft" X-ray studies (E<10 keV)
- Principals are mission/software/detector independent

Introduction

Event lists contain [time, x, y, ~E] for every event

What you don't know about each event is:

- Whether a photon or an energetic particle
- What direction the photon came from
- Origin along the line of sight

What you want to do is:

- Remove the non-source events (statistically)
- Convert number of observed _ to number of emitted _

ACIS X-ray/Particle Discrimination



From Catherine Grant w/o permission

- Non-Cosmic Background _ Instrumental Background
 - Events not due to photons entering the telescope
 - Typically cosmic ray interactions with detector or
 - X-rays produced by cosmic ray interactions with other stuff
- Cosmic Background
 - Non-source photons entering the telescope
 - Other emitting components along the line of sight
 - Hot Galactic ISM and the Galactic halo
 - X-ray Background due to unresolved AGN

- Non-Cosmic Background Instrumental Background
 - Events not due to photons entering the telescope
 - Typically co
 - X-rays prod
- Cosmic Back
 - Non-source
 - Other emitti
 - Hot Galac
 - X-ray Bac



other stuff

- Response: Probability that a photon of energy E entering the telescope is recorded by the detector.
 - $P_{T}(mirror)P_{T}(filters)\cdots P_{D}(detector)$
 - May include geometric factor for size of the detector element compared to the PSF
 - Usually contained in the Auxiliary Response File (ARF)
 - In units of cm²

- Redistribution: Probability that a photon of incident energy E is recorded at energy E'
 - For every E' must sum over all possible input E
 _convolution or multiplication by 2-dimensional matrix
 Usually contained in the Redistribution Matrix File (RMF)





Input Energy

Observed = (Input_Response)YRedistribution

Observed = (Input_Response)YRedistribution

How to get Input spectrum given the observed spectrum?

• Inversion is difficult and the results are unstable



Spectral fitting: XSPEC, Sherpa, etc.

Multi-element detectors

- Response varies with position
 - Throughput of telescope optics varies with off-axis angle
 - Blocking filter transmission varies with position
 - Response of detector varies with position
 - Spatial variation varies with Energy



Multi-element detectors

- Response varies with position
 - Throughput of telescope optics varies with off-axis angle
 - Blocking filter transmission varies with position
 - Response of detector varies with position
 - Spatial variation varies with Energy
- Redistribution varies with position
 - Charge-transfer inefficiency

Classical optical photometry

- 1. Band-pass defined by filter
- 2. Set aperture (contains X% of total flux)
- 3. Set background aperture
- 4. Mag=Log(source-back)+zeropoint







Urbino 2008

Similar to classical optical photometry/spectroscopy but...

1. Choice of band-pass is yours

Not determined entirely by instrumental filters

2. Aperture correction

strongly dependent on location and Energy

- 3. Different statistical regime
 - Small number statistics
 - Setting background region is more difficult
- 4. Zeropoint (response) strongly dependent on location

Similar to classical optical photometry/spectroscopy but...

1. Choice of band-pass is yours



1. Source detection:

Sliding box, Convolution techniques, Tesselation techniques

- 2. Set aperture to include large fraction of source energy
- 3. Set background region

Not too small or value will be uncertain Not too large or will not represent the local background Source of background *may* not be important

- 4. Create response & redistribution functions for source Sometimes will need to create for background region as well
- 5. Fit the spectrum

For photometry apply a spectral shape

Point Source Analysis - Tools

Tools are mostly mission specific

- Chandra
 - CIAO stand alone software, requires step-by-step application
 - ACIS-Extract IDL-based, sophisticated tools for analysis of large number of sources
- XMM-Newton
 - SAS stand-alone software, quasi-automatic
- Suzaku, ASCA, ROSAT, Swift
 - HEASoft stand alone tools, requires step-by-step application, lacks source detection package
 - Sextractor
 - X-Assist

Point Source Analysis - Applications

Color-color Diagrams: to identify types of sources by their spectral shape.

Band choice is crucial



Diffuse Analysis-Motivation

• NGC4303 – galaxy well placed in FOV



Diffuse Analysis-Motivation

- NGC5236 (M83) fills the FOV
 - Optical (and X-ray?) extends beyond edge of detector





Diffuse Analysis-Motivation

ROSAT All-Sky Survey



- One must use non-local backgrounds
 - Different responses and different background components
- Sometimes there is no background region at all

Diffuse Analysis-Introduction

Imaging – need to know spatial distribution of each background component = knowing spectral distribution

Imaging spectroscopy – need to know spectral distribution of each background component as well

Components:

- Quiescent particle background
- Soft proton contamination
- X-ray background (unresolved AGN)
- Galactic emission (ISM and halo)
- Solar wind charge exchange

Most components identified/quantified spectrally

Spatial and spectral analysis inseparable!!

All photons vignetted by OTA, Same spatial distribution

Diffuse Analysis-Introduction

For each background component

- How we determine its spectral and spatial distribution
- How we determine its strength in our observation
- How we remove it from our data
 - How to include it in our spectral fits

Quiescent Particle Background

- Due to cosmic rays interacting with the detector and the detector environment, sometimes producing secondary X-rays recorded by the detector.
- Determine the shape of the QPB spectrum: measure the spectrum when the detector is protected from the X-rays but not the cosmic rays.
 - Chandra: move detector from focal plane to under shield (the ACIS stowed data)
 - XMM: close the filter wheel
 (the MOS and PN FWC data)
 - Suzaku: observe the dark side of the earth



Urbino 2008

• Strength of QPB variable



- How to determine strength for your observation?

• Strength of QPB variable



- Measure at E where instrument has no response to X-rays

- Spatial distribution of QPB:
 - Chandra: distribution flat at all energies (?)
 - XMM: distribution depends on energy
 - Suzaku: smooth gradient over the chip
- These distributions are very different from the distribution of X-ray photons



Urbino 2008

- Shape of QPB spectrum can be time variable
 - Chandra: variation smaller than current data can measure
 - XMM: significant variation on many time scales
 - Suzaku: small(?)





Urbino 2008

- Technique for spectral analysis:
- 1. Extract spectrum from region of interest
- 2. Extract QPB spectrum from same region (from stowed, FWC, or dark-earth data)
- 3. Apply corrections for time variability
- 4. Normalize at high energies
- For image analysis
- 1. Determine strength from spectra for band-pass
- 2. Scale the QPB images

- SPC is better known as "background flares"
 - Due to MeV protons focused by telescope mirrors
- Effects Chandra and XMM, not Suzaku or ROSAT
- Mitigated by light-curve cleaning but there is residual





• Usually noticeable as smooth excess at E>3 keV



- Usually noticeable as smooth excess at E>3 keV
- The exact spectral shape depends on the observation
- Usually fit well by:
 - Broken power law
 - Power law with exponential cutoff
 - Fit without instrument response!



- Usually noticeable as smooth excess at E>3 keV
- The exact spectral shape depends on the observation
- Usually fit well by:
 - Broken power law
 - Power law with exponential cutoff
 - Fit without instrument response!
- Spatial distribution is *not* like the photon distribution
 - Well determined for XMM, poorly for Chandra

- Usually noticeable as smooth excess at E>3 keV
- The exact spectral shape depends on the observation
- Usually fit well by:
 - Broken power law
 - Power law with exponential
 - Fit without instrument respo
- Spatial distribution is *not* li
 - Well determined for XMM,



- Technique
- 1. Clean the light-curve to remove obvious contamination
- 2. Fit the spectrum with all known components
- 3. If there is a smooth high energy excess
 Add a component with the correct spectral shape
 Fit without the instrument response or redistribution matrix

Diffuse Analysis-Background-Unresolved AGN

- Spectral shape of unresolved AGN extensively studied
- Typically modeled as a power law with _=1.42-1.46



Diffuse Analysis-Background-Unresolved AGN

- Spectral shape of unresolved AGN extensively studied
- Typically modeled as a power law with _=1.42-1.46
- Normalization 9.5-10.5 keV/cm2/s/sr/keV
 - Depends upon point source removal limit
- Uncertainties
 - Behavior at E<1 keV poorly understood
 - Spectral shape may differ in very deep observations
- Memo: your source may absorb this component

Diffuse Analysis-Background-Galactic Emission

• Strength and spectral shape varies with position



Diffuse Analysis-Background-Galactic Emission

- Strength and spectral shape varies with position
- DO NOT USE MEAN SKY BACKGROUNDS
 - At least not below 2 keV
 - Use a local measure instead
 - Very important because galaxies and the soft components of clusters of galaxies have spectra similar to that of our own Milky Way
- IF
 - The RASS and N(H) maps have similar values for your source region and your background region AND
 - The two regions are a few degrees apart
- THEN
 - spectral shape is likely similar, but the strength of the emission is not

Diffuse Analysis-Background-Galactic Emission

- Technique
- 1. Extract source spectrum
- 2. Extract nearby "background" spectrum
- 3. Fit background spectrum with $APEC_L+wabs(APEC_D+APEC_D+pow)$ $kT_L\sim0.09$ keV, $kT_D\sim(0.25,0.1)$ (see Kuntz & Snowden 2000)
- 4. Constrain fit with RASS data
- 5. Apply fit to source spectrum, allowing thermal normalizations to vary



Diffuse Analysis-Background-SWCX Solar Wind Charge Exchange Emission (SWCX) N⁺ⁱ+H N⁺ⁱ⁻¹+H⁺+



Diffuse Analysis-Background-SWCX

Solar Wind Charge Exchange Emission (SWCX) N⁺ⁱ+H_N⁺ⁱ⁻¹+H⁺+_

Sources

- Neutral ISM flowing through solar system
 - Strong spatial dependence
- Neutral material in earth's extended atmosphere
 - Strong time variability
- Responsible for erroneous discovery of soft component in the Coma cluster

Diffuse Analysis-Background-SWCX

Technique

- Currently none (though see Carter & Read 2009)
- If there are multiple observations, comparison may reveal problems
- Active work at CNRS and GSFC

Diffuse Analysis-Summary

Getting your backgrounds right is crucial for the study of galaxies, groups of galaxies, clusters of galaxies, and the hot ISM of the Milky Way

Technique

- 1. Create light-curve and clean to remove most SPC
- 2. Create and normalize QPB spectrum
- 3. Extract "blank sky" spectrum and fit Galactic emission and unresolved AGN spectrum
- 4. Extract source spectrum, subtract QPB spectrum, fit: source + (Galactic+XRB) + SPC

Diffuse Analysis-Imaging

(Counts-Backgrounds)/(Exposure time_Eff. Area Map) Since effective area map is energy dependent – correct map requires knowledge of source spectrum. Application of monochromatic map _ spurious features





Urbino 2008

Diffuse Analysis-Tools

Chandra – CIAO provides standard tools (spectral extraction, etc.), CXC provides background data and some tools for applying backgrounds

- XMM BGWG provides robust tools for calculating backgrounds: XMM-ESAS (XMM site or HEASARC)
- Suzaku fewer backgrounds, fewer problems, less need for tools, use HEASoft
- ROSAT robust tool set developed at MPE & GSFC available through the HEASARC

Diffuse Analysis-Tools

Mosaicking: putting together many exposures Chandra – merge script (limited application) XMM – use XMM-ESAS (from HEASARC) ROSAT – use ESAS (from HEASARC)